BMJ Global Health Conflict in Somalia: impact on child undernutrition

Damaris K Kinyoki,¹ Grainne M Moloney,² Olalekan A Uthman,³ Ngianga-Bakwin Kandala,^{4,5,6} Elijah O Odundo,⁷ Abdisalan M Noor,^{1,8} James A Berkley^{8,9}

ABSTRACT

events

Introduction In Somalia, protracted conflict and

aged 6-59 months nationwide in Somalia.

drought have caused population displacement and

livelihood destruction. There is also widespread childhood

effects of conflict on wasting and stunting among children

2007-2010, including 73778 children in 1066 clusters, the

Armed Conflict Location and Event Data project database

undernutrition. We aimed to determine the independent

Methods Data were from household surveys during

and remote sensing. We used Bayesian hierarchical

spatial-temporal regression to examine the effects

of conflict on wasting and stunting. Models included

individual, household and environmental covariates and recent (<3 months) or longer term (3–12 months) conflict

Results 15355 (21%) and 22739 (31%) observations

were from wasted and stunted children, respectively. The

conflict was associated with undernutrition independently

of the individual, household and environmental factors, and

its inclusion improved model performance. Recent conflict

6.9%). Longer term conflict had greater effects on wasting

(OR 1.76, 95% Crl (1.71, 1.81), AF 6.0%) and stunting (OR

1.88, 95% Crl = (1.83, 1.94), AF 7.4%), After controlling

and protective effects of rainfall and vegetation cover on

Conclusion Conflict and internal displacement have large effects on undernutrition in ways not fully captured by simply measuring individual, household and environmental

Conflict disrupts food production, increases

by concurrent drought and other long-term

extrinsic environmental factors that are

for conflict, the harmful effect of internal displacement

was associated with wasting (OR 1.37, 95% credible

interval (Crl): (1.33, 1.42) and attributable fraction (AF)

7.6%)) and stunting (OR 1.21, 95% Crl (1.15, 1.28), AF

To cite: Kinyoki DK, Moloney GM, Uthman OA, *et al.* Conflict in Somalia: impact on child undernutrition. *BMJ Glob Health* 2017;**2**:e000262. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2016-000262

Received 5 December 2016 Revised 16 March 2017 Accepted 21 March 2017



INTRODUCTION

factors or drought.

undernutrition were enhanced.

 Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjgh-2016-000262)

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Damaris K Kinyoki, I; dkinyoki@kemri-wellcome.org food insecurity and causes population movement, including into internally displaced person (IDP) camps.^{1 2} Infectious diseases, exacerbated by the destruction of health facilities, hardship and hunger have direct effects on health and may also reduce human capacity for food production.³ In Somalia, the effects of conflict have been compounded

Key questions

What is already known about this topic?

- Conflict negatively impacts children's nutritional status in many low-income and middle-income countries.
- In Somalia, the effects of conflict have been compounded by concurrent drought and other longterm extrinsic environmental factors that predispose children to undernutrition. However, the effects of conflict have not been quantified anywhere in relation to individual, household, drought and other environmental determinants.

What are the new findings?

- To our knowledge, this is the first study to undertake a nationwide investigation of the effect of recent and longer term conflicts on child nutritional status controlling for other determinants.
- The effects of recent and longer term conflict, after adjustment for the individual, household and environmental determinants, were large, with a 76% and 88% greater odds of wasting and stunting and nationally, attributable fractions of 13% and 14%, respectively.

Recommendations for policy

Inclusion of internally displaced persons, seasonality and details of conflict events at a cluster level are especially important in survey design and guiding response.

predisposed to undernutrition, especially among children and pregnant women.³

The impact of conflict on the nutritional status of a population depends on the nature of the conflict, its duration, how it affects live-lihoods and the coping strategies adopted by the community.⁴ The full impact may only be observed months or years after a specific conflict episode.⁵ Previous studies in sub-Saharan Africa indicate that, compared with preconflict periods, recent conflict is associated with high rates of undernutrition and under-5 mortality, and increased risk of low birth weight.⁶ ⁷ Nutrition-specific interventions have been adopted in such settings as

standard components of the humanitarian response in complex emergencies.⁸ Although the humanitarian response is commonly focused on the acute situation, it can have longer term positive impact in reducing undernutrition in the population.²

In Somalia, conflict has been cited as the main impediment to accessing healthcare and humanitarian aid.⁹ In the South Central zone, where there has been frequent conflict, undernutrition in children under 5years old is reported to be at critical levels.¹⁰ In this zone, settlement patterns in both urban and agricultural areas have undergone substantial changes, with large influxes of non-resident clans, supported by their militias for economic gains. This has disrupted agro-pastoral livelihoods.⁴ In the north of Somalia, in areas enjoying relative stability and development, undernutrition rates are substantially lower.¹¹ Substantial pockets of high vulnerability also occur in IDP camps and urban areas in the country.¹¹

The majority of the Somali population depend on subsistence farming and pastoralism for their livelihood, and hence on the climate.¹² Failure of successive rains, and consequently drought, across Somalia, has rendered this population vulnerable, especially in the populated southern region.¹³ As a result, communities have fought over natural resources, resulting in losses of vegetation cover. For example, in 2004, during the peak of the 4-year drought, the pastoralist community resorted to cutting and burning wood to make charcoal as a source of income.¹³

Previous analyses of the determinants of undernutrition in Somalia have not included conflict,¹⁴ which is likely to be key drivers of undernutrition. We, therefore, aimed to determine the impact of conflict events on wasting and stunting among children aged 6–59 months, adjusting for child, household and community characteristics, climate and environmental factors. We integrated anthropometric and household data from nutrition surveys conducted by the Food Security and Nutrition Analysis Unit (FSNAU) with data on conflict events from the Armed Conflict Location and Event Data (ACLED) project and environmental and climatic data from remote sensing.

METHODS

Data and surveys

Nutritional survey data

Data were obtained from the FSNAU surveys of children aged 6–59 months between 2007 and 2010. Surveys were conducted biannually using stratified multistage cluster sampling design. The survey methods are described in detail elsewhere.¹⁰ At the individual child level, age, gender, weight, height, mid-upper arm circumference, vitamin A supplementation in the last 6 months, diarrhoea, acute respiratory infections (ARI) and febrile illness in the 2 weeks before the survey, and polio and measles vaccination history were collected. At the

household level, information recorded included the household size and age structure, gender of the household head and access to different types of foods in the last 24 hours. Z-scores were computed for wasting and stunting using the WHO 2006 references.¹⁵ Wasting or stunting were defined as below –2 z-score for weight-forheight and height-for-age ,respectively.

Conflict data

Information on conflict events was obtained from the ACLED database.¹⁶ The ACLED project was designed to capture a range of violent and non-violent actions by political agents including governments, rebel groups, militias, communal groups, political parties, rioters, protesters and civilians.¹⁷ It covers events occurring both within and outside the immediate context of the civil war, including violence against civilians, militia interactions, communal conflict and rioting.¹⁶ The data sources for this project included news reports, NGO and governmental agency publications, security alerts and published texts and books at international, regional and national levels. The project covers all countries in Africa from 1997 to 2015.¹⁰ ACLED reports specify nine types of conflict events: battle - no change of territory; battle - non-state actor overtakes territory; battle – government regains territory; headquarters or base established; strategic development; riots or protests; violence against civilians; non-violent transfer of territory; and remote violence.¹⁷ These event types were grouped into four classes for analysis: battle, remote violence, violence against civilians and others. A detailed description of conflict and definition of each category can be found in supplementary information file (online SI. 1 and Table SI. 1). These data were geocoded by event location and classified as occurring <3 months and 3-12 months before each nutritional survey to reflect the recent and longer term conflict for any conflict and each conflict event type.

Environmental data

A set of five distal environmental covariates associated with food security¹⁸ and vector-borne diseases¹⁹ on indices of undernutrition were examined: rainfall, enhanced vegetation index (EVI), mean temperature, distance to water and urbanisation. Rainfall and mean temperature were derived from the monthly average grid surfaces obtained from WorldClim database.²⁰ The EVI values were derived from the MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer sensor imagery²¹ for the period 2000-2010, while the urbanisation data was obtained from Global Rural Urban Mapping Project.²² All the environmental covariates were extracted from 1×1 km spatial resolution grids. Rainfall, temperature and EVI were summarised to compute seasonal averages using the four main seasons in Somalia: December-March: a harsh dry season called 'Jilal'; April-June, which is the main rainy season called 'Gu'; from July to September is the second dry season, the 'Hagaa'; and October-December, the short rainy season known as 'Deyr'.

Ethical approval was provided through permission by the Ministry of Health Somalia, Transitional Federal Government of Somalia Republic, Ref: MOH/WC/XA/146/07, dated 02/02/2007. Due to the high illiteracy rate of the population, informed consent was obtained verbally from all participating households and individuals.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Bayesian hierarchical spatial-temporal regression methods were used to quantify the effect of conflict on wasting and stunting. The household survey and environmental predictors of undernutrition were controlled at individual, household and community levels. Cluster level effects were incorporated into the model to allow for structured (spatial and temporal) and unstructured heterogeneity of undernutrition, using a convolution prior. District random effects were also included in this model to account for the variability between districts. Seasonality was controlled in the model as a factor with four unordered levels (December-March, April-June, July-September and October-December). Livelihood was also controlled as five unordered levels: agro-pastoral, pastoral, riverine, urban areas and IDPs.

As a first step, the associations of child-level, household and environmental covariates with wasting and stunting were examined in model A, not accounting for the effects of conflict. Then, conflict events were divided into two categories: (1) recent conflict: events within 3 months; and (2) longer term conflict: 3–12 months before each nutrition survey in model B, including the above covariates and conflict. Interaction effects between food and nutrition, environmental and conflict variables were also examined. All these models were implemented using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA) as implemented in R-INLA in R project V.3.2.3.²³ Detailed description of methods can be found in SI. 2 section in the supplementary information file.

The adjusted attributable fraction (AF) for recent and for longer term conflicts and EVI were computed as discussed by Rückinger et al.²⁴ In this approach, the AFs are directly derived from logistic regression after removing the risk factors sequentially from the model by considering all permutations of the factors.^{25 26} The adjusted number of expected cases is determined from the predicted probabilities and then the AF is computed by subtracting the expected cases from the observed cases and by dividing by the observed cases.^{24,26} The resulting sequential AFs are sensitive to the order of removal of the risk factors in the model.^{24 25} This is addressed by computing the average of all obtained AFs.^{24 25} Using this approach, we determined the AFs of recent and longer term conflict and the EVI from the Bayesian spatial-temporal logistic regression by sequentially removing the three risk factors. The AFs from the all six possible permutations for the three factors were computed to get the final adjusted AFs of both wasting

and stunting. The predicted probabilities for each individual were computed in a Bayesian framework only accounting for the explained variation in the model. This was done by multiplying the predicted probabilities by the proportion of the explained variation for each individual in the model.

To assess the predictive performance of the models, we used Watanabe-Akaike information criterion (WAIC) as implemented by Gelman and colleagues.²⁷ This method estimates pointwise out-of-sample prediction accuracy from a fitted Bayesian model using the log-likelihood evaluated at the posterior simulations of the parameter values. WAIC is based on the series expansion of leave-one-out cross-validation.²⁷ Furthermore, the observed and fitted prevalence of wasting and stunting from the models were compared using scatterplots.

RESULTS

Data from a total of 73778 observations on children from 1066 clusters were examined (table 1). The mean age was 33 months (range: 6–59 months) and 47% were female. By livelihood zones, 42%, 27% and 16% of children were from areas of agro-pastoral, pastoral and riverine livelihoods, respectively, while 11% lived in IDP camps and 4% lived in urban areas. Overall, 15355 (21%) and 22739 (31%) of the children were wasted and stunted, respectively. Other characteristics of the children measured during the surveys are shown in Table SI. 2.

Model A: not accounting for the effect of conflict

As shown in table 2, pastoral communities had a lower risk of undernutrition than riverine communities. IDP communities were at a higher risk of both forms of undernutrition compared with agro-pastoral communities: OR=1.37, 95% credible interval (CrI): 1.34, 1.41 for wasting and OR=1.91, 95% CrI: 1.05, 3.48 for stunting.

Older children were at a lower risk of wasting and higher risk of stunting. Children who had diarrhoea, ARI and fever in the last 2 weeks had a higher risk of wasting (OR=1.38, 95% CrI: 1.16 to 1.64; OR=1.27, 95% CrI: 1.07 to 1.50; OR=1.29, 95% CrI: 1.08 to 1.53) and stunting (OR=1.43, 95% CrI: 1.21 to 1.68; OR=1.12, 95% CrI: 1.08 to 1.16; OR=1.16, 95% CrI: 1.12 to 1.20). Household access to food high in carbohydrates and protein was associated with lower risk of both wasting and stunting.

Change of season from Deyr (October–November short rains) to Gu (April–June long rains) reduced the risk of wasting but was not associated with stunting. The change from Deyr (October–November short rains) to the dry seasons Jilaal (December–March main dry season) and Hagaa (July–September short dry) was associated with higher risk of both wasting and stunting. Vegetation cover was associated with lower risk of wasting and stunting, while increased temperature was associated with higher risk of wasting and stunting.

		•	-								
Zone	Region	Number of clusters	Number of children examined	Children stunted (%)	Children wasted (%)	Number of conflict events	Battle	Remote violence	Violence against civilians	Other	Total
North East (Puntland)	Bari	თ	756	201 (26.59)	174 (23.02)	47	16	7	21	ო	47
	Mudug	61	6188	804 (12.99)	1055 (17.05)	41	14	c	21	c	41
	Nugaal	24	1673	383 (22.89)	322 (19.25)	17	9	2	ß	-	17
North West (Somaliland)	Awdal	26	862	7 (0.81)	177 (20.53)	7	2	0	J	0	7
	Sanaag	14	412	3 (0.73)	97 (23.54)	10	4	0	9	0	10
	Sool	c	142	18 (12.68)	24 (16.90)	27	œ	0	11	8	27
	Togdheer	12	673	362 (53.79)	124 (18.42)	18	6	0	8	-	18
	Woqooyi Galbeed	23	2465	1378 (55.90)	480 (19.47)	27	5	0	19	3	27
South-Central	Bakool	75	3534	1150 (32.54)	1330 (37.63)	20	7	-	4	8	20
	Banadir		51	0 (0.00)	11 (21.57)	594	366	74	123	31	594
	Bay	98	5568	2133 (38.31)	1798 (32.29)	74	31	0	29	14	74
	Galgaduud	77	5831	1908 (32.72)	879 (15.07)	55	36	2	11	6	55
	Gedo	111	6985	1999 (28.62)	2616 (37.45)	31	11	0	7	13	31
	Hiraan	142	10743	2260 (21.04)	2085 (19.41)	94	48	c	33	10	94
	Juba Dhexe	77	5253	2734 (52.05)	960 (18.28)	14	6	0	4	-	14
	Juba Hoose	71	5560	1553 (27.93)	926 (16.65)	60	26	-	20	13	60
	Shabelle Dhexe	101	7650	2414 (31.56)	1322 (17.28)	49	22	0	16	1	49
	Shabelle Hoose	141	9432	3432 (36.39)	1355 (14.37)	210	140	16	47	7	210
Total	18	1066	73778	22 739 (31%)	15735 (21)	1395	760	109	393	133	1395

Table 2 Multivariate adjusted odds ratio (AOR) and 95% credible interval (Crl) of the effect of covariates on wasting and stunting among children aged 6–59 months in
Somalia. The estimates were derived from two models: model A that did not account for the effect of conflict as covariate model B that accounted for the effect of recent
and longer term conflict. Values in bold typeface are those that do not contain the value 1 in their 95% Crl and were considered statistically significant

•									
		Wasting				Stunting			
		Model A r the effect	not controlling for t of conflict	Model B controlling the effect of recent a longer term conflict	Model B controlling for the effect of recent and longer term conflict	Model A for the e	Model A not controlling for the effect of conflict	Model the effe longer	Model B controlling for the effect of recent and longer term conflict
Correlates		OR		OR	Crl	OR	Crl	OR	Crl
Conflict data									
Recent conflict (within 3 months)				1.37	(1.33 to 1.42)			1.21	(1.15 to 1.28)
Longer term conflict (3–12 months ago)				1.76	(1.71 to 1.81)			1.88	(1.83 to 1.94)
Livelihood (agro-pastoral as reference)	Pastoral	0.94	(0.91 to 0.97)	0.93	(0.91 to 0.96)	09.0	(0.41 to 0.87)	09.0	(0.41 to 0.87)
	Riverine	1.13	(1.09 to 1.17)	1.17	(1.13 to 1.21)	1.44	(1.04 to 1.99)	1.50	(1.45 to 1.55)
	Urban	0.98	(0.94 to 1.02)	0.98	(0.93 to 1.04)	0.98	(0.93 to 1.04)	0.83	(0.79 to 0.88)
	IDP	1.37	(1.34 to 1.41)	1.62	(1.54 to 1.70)	1.91	(1.05 to 3.48)	2.23	(2.07 to 2.40)
Child data									
Vitamin A supplementation		0.82	(0.81 to 0.84)	0.89	(0.87 to 0.91)	1.10	(0.92 to 1.31)	06.0	(0.76 to 1.08)
Measles vaccination		0.87	(0.71 to 1.05)	0.86	(0.84 to 0.88)	0.87	(0.73 to 1.04)	0.88	(0.84 to 0.91)
Polio vaccination		0.89	(0.87 to 0.91)	0.96	(0.94 to 0.98)	0.91	(0.87 to 0.94)	0.95	(0.93 to 0.97)
Diarrhoea		1.38	(1.16 to 1.64)	1.37	(1.15 to 1.63)	1.43	(1.21 to 1.68)	1.42	(1.34 to 1.50)
Acute respiratory infection		1.27	(1.07 to 1.50)	1.27	(1.07 to 1.50)	1.12	(1.08 to 1.16)	1.13	(1.09 to 1.17)
Febrile Illness		1.29	(1.08 to 1.53)	1.28	(1.08 to 1.52)	1.16	(1.12 to 1.20)	1.16	(1.12 to 1.21)
Suspected measles		1.13	(0.98 to 1.32)	1.13	(0.98 to 1.31)	1.02	(0.99 to 1.04)	1.02	(0.78 to 1.34)
Sex of the child (female)		0.72	(0.63 to 0.83)	0.72	(0.63 to 0.84)	0.75	(0.66 to 0.86)	0.75	(0.66 to 0.86)
Chid age (<12 reference)	12-<24 months	s 0.57	(0.53 to 0.61)	0.57	(0.44 to 0.74)	3.36	(3.27 to 3.46)	3.36	(2.53 to 4.47)
	24– 59 months	0.69	(0.55 to 0.87)	0.69	(0.55 to 0.87)	2.34	(2.28 to 2.41)	2.34	(1.79 to 3.07)
Household data									
Household size		1.04	(1.01 to 1.08)	1.10	(1.06 to 1.13)	1.04	(1.01 to 1.08)	1.04	(1.00 to 1.07)
Number of under-5		1.07	(1.02 to 1.13)	1.03	(1.00 to 1.06)	1.04	(1.02 to 1.07)	1.03	(1.01 to 1.06)
Female household head		1.06	(0.89 to 1.28)	1.08	(0.90 to 1.30)	1.22	(1.02 to 1.45)	1.22	(1.02 to 1.46)

Continued

Mathematical frammaMathematical frammaAge of the difference0.000.030	Table 2 Continued								
Model Bontonling for the effect of contribution the effect of contribution in the effect of conton contribution in the effect of contribution in the e		Wasting				Stunting			
OH CH OH OH CH OH		Model A the effec	not controlling for st of conflict	Model B c the effect longer terr	ontrolling for of recent and n conflict	Model A for the e	not controlling offect of conflict	Model the effe longer	B controlling for tet of recent and term conflict
mt 100 (0.90 to 1.01) 100 (0.90 to 1.01) 100 (0.90 to 1.01) 100 r 0.39 (0.98 to 1.00) 0.89 (0.88 to 0.39) 0.98 (0.98 to 0.39) 0.99 n 0.30 0.80 0.87 to 0.39 0.89 (0.87 to 0.39) 0.99 (0.91 to 0.36) 0.99 n 0.30 0.80 0.81 to 1.25 0.86 0.87 to 0.89 0.94 0.91 to 0.99 0.94 n 0.31 0.31 0.87 0.84 to 0.89 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 n 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.35 0.94 0.9	Correlates	OR	Crl	OR	Crl	OR	Crl	OR	Crl
1 0.39 (0.36 to 10) 0.89 (0.86 to 0.02) 0.89 (0.87 to 0.96) 0.97 1 <	Age of the mother	1.00	(0.99 to 1.01)	1.04	(1.03 to 1.04)	1.00	(0.99 to 1.01)	1.00	(0.99 to 1.01)
n condition condition <thcondition< th=""> <thconditi< td=""><td>MUAC of mother</td><td>0.99</td><td>(0.98 to 1.00)</td><td>0.89</td><td>(0.88 to 0.90)</td><td>0.98</td><td>(0.97 to 0.98)</td><td>0.97</td><td>(0.94 to 0.99)</td></thconditi<></thcondition<>	MUAC of mother	0.99	(0.98 to 1.00)	0.89	(0.88 to 0.90)	0.98	(0.97 to 0.98)	0.97	(0.94 to 0.99)
0.00 0.87 to 0.87 0.87 to 0.81 0.86 0.87 to 0.81 0.86 0.87 to 0.81 0.86 0.84 to 0.89 0.84 0.89 0.84 0.89 0.84 0.89 0.84 0.89 0.84 <th0.84< td=""><td>Food and nutrition</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th0.84<>	Food and nutrition								
····································	Carbohydrate	0:00	(0.87 to 0.93)	0.89	(0.87 to 0.91)	0.95	(0.92 to 0.98)	0.95	(0.92 to 0.98)
	Protein	0.70	(0.68 to 0.72)	0.87	(0.84 to 0.89)	0.94	(0.91 to 0.96)	0.94	(0.91 to 0.97)
ables 0.94 0.82 to 1.09 0.94 0.82 to 1.09 0.96 0.95 to 1.01 0.98 contail data 1.2 1.25 to 1.31 1.2 to 1.31 1.2 to 1.31 1.2 to 1.31 1.2 to 1.31 1.31 1.31 1.31 1.31 1.31 1.31 1.3	Fats	1.01	(0.81 to 1.25)	0.96	(0.94 to 0.98)	0.96	(0.79 to 1.17)	0.95	(0.93 to 0.97)
	Fruits and vegetables	0.94	(0.82 to 1.08)	0.94	(0.82 to 1.08)	0.98	(0.95 to 1.01)	0.98	(0.87 to 1.11)
reference) Gu 0.83 0.83 tb 0.87 0.81 0.73 tb 0.024 0.82 0.82 tb 0.143 0.82 0.82 tb 0.143 0.82 Hagaa 1.12 (1.00 tb 1.16) 1.11 (1.07 tb 1.14) 1.31 (1.25 tb 1.37) 1.09 Jiaal 1.31 (1.27 tb 1.36) 1.33 (1.30 tb 1.00) 1.00 1.100 1.00 Jiaal 1.31 (1.27 tb 1.36) 1.33 (1.30 tb 1.00) 1.00 1.00 1.00 Jistance to water 1.00 (1.00 tb 1.00) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Jostance to water 1.00 (1.00 tb 1.00) 0.33 0.33 tb 0.34) 0.34 0.34 0.34 Jostance (EV) 0.40 0.33 0.35 tb 0.49 0.39 0.36 0.40 0.40 Jostance (EV) 0.40 0.33 0.35 tb 0.49 0.39 0.36 tb 0.100 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 Jostance (EV) 1.02 1.03 1.02 1.02 1	Climatic/environmental data								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		0.85	(0.83 to 0.87)	0.81	(0.79 to 0.83)	0.80	(0.62 to 1.04)	0.92	(0.85 to 1.01)
Jilad 1.31 (1.27 to 1.36) 1.33 (1.30 to 1.37) 1.03 (1.01 to 1.06) 1.10 Distance to water 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 Atter 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 Atter 0.042 0.042 0.39 0.35 to 0.43 0.39 0.36 to 1.00 0.40 Attor 0.040 0.43 0.39 0.035 to 0.43 0.39 0.36 to 1.00 0.40 Attor 0.39 0.39 to 1.00 0.83 0.35 to 0.36 1.32 0.36 Attor 1.12 0.108 to 1.10 0.12 0.109 0.24 0.36 0.36 Attor 1.02 0.34 to 1.02 0.32 0.36 to 1.01 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 Attor 1.01 1.02 0.02 0.03 to 1.01 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 Attor 1.02 1.02	Hagaa	1.12	(1.08 to 1.16)	1.11	(1.07 to 1.14)	1.31	(1.25 to 1.37)	1.09	(1.03 to 1.15)
	Jilaal	1.31	(1.27 to 1.36)	1.33	(1.30 to 1.37)	1.03	(1.01 to 1.06)	1.10	(1.07 to 1.13)
ation index (EV) 0.42 $(0.12 to 1.43)$ 0.39 $(0.35 to 0.45)$ 0.45 $(0.43 to 0.46)$ 0.40 1.00 $(0.99 to 1.01)$ 0.83 $(0.98 to 1.00)$ 0.86 1.12 $(1.08 to 1.16)$ 1.34 $(1.30 to 1.33)$ 1.32 1.12 $(1.08 to 1.16)$ 1.12 $(0.94 to 1.02)$ 0.82 $(0.79 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.98 to 1.07)$ 0.86 1.12 $(0.94 to 1.02)$ 0.82 $(0.79 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.98 to 1.07)$ 0.91 1.12 $(0.94 to 1.02)$ 0.82 $(0.79 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.98 to 1.07)$ 0.91 1.12 $(0.94 to 1.02)$ 0.82 $(0.79 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.98 to 1.07)$ 0.91 1.12 $(1.00 to 1.01)$ 1.02 $(1.00 to 1.01)$ 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.02 $(1.00 to 1.01)$ 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.02 $(1.00 to 1.03)$ 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.12 1.02 $(1.00 to 1.03)$ 1.02 1.02 1.12 0.04 1.00 $(1.00 to 1.03)$ 0.71 1.12 $0.17 to 2.72$ 1.02 0.17 1.12 $0.17 to 2.72$ 1.02 0.17 1.12 $0.17 to 2.02$ $0.17 to 2.02$ 0.17 1.12 $0.17 to 2.02$ $0.120 to 0.00$ 0.120 1.12 $0.120 to 0.00$ $0.100 to 0.00$ $0.100 to 0.00$ 1.12 $0.120 to 0.00$ $0.120 to 0.00$ 0.10	Distance tr water		(1.00 to 1.00)	1.00	(1.00 to 1.00)	1.00	(1.00 to 1.00)	1.00	(1.00 to 1.00)
1.00 $(0.99 to 1.01)$ 0.83 $(0.83 to 0.84)$ 0.99 $(0.98 to 1.00)$ 0.86 1.12 $(1.08 to 1.16)$ 1.12 $(1.08 to 1.16)$ 1.34 $(1.30 to 1.38)$ 1.32 0.98 $(0.94 to 1.02)$ 0.82 $(0.79 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.98 to 1.07)$ 0.91 0.11 0.91 0.92 $0.92 to 0.86)$ 1.02 $(0.91 to 1.07)$ 0.91 0.11 0.91 0.92 $0.92 to 0.86)$ 1.02 0.91 0.91 0.11 0.91 $0.100 to 1.01$ 1.02 0.91 0.91 0.11 $0.100 to 1.01$ $0.100 to 1.01$ $0.100 to 1.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.11 $0.100 to 1.01$ $0.100 to 1.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.110 $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.110 $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.110 $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.110 $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ $0.100 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.110 $0.110 to 0.01$ $0.110 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.1100 0.110 $0.110 to 0.01$ $0.110 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.1100 0.110 $0.110 to 0.01$ $0.110 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.110 $0.110 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.1100 0.110 $0.110 to 0.01$ 0.1100 0.1100 0.110 0.1100 $0.100 to 0.01$ </td <td>Enhanced vegetation index (EVI)</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>(0.12 to 1.43)</td> <td>0.39</td> <td>(0.35 to 0.45)</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>(0.43 to 0.46)</td> <td>0.40</td> <td>(0.39 to 0.41)</td>	Enhanced vegetation index (EVI)	0.42	(0.12 to 1.43)	0.39	(0.35 to 0.45)	0.45	(0.43 to 0.46)	0.40	(0.39 to 0.41)
1.12 (1.08 to 1.16) 1.12 (1.08 to 1.16) 1.34 (1.30 to 1.38) 1.32 0.38 (0.34 to 1.02) 0.82 (0.79 to 0.86) 1.02 (0.38 to 1.07) 0.91 VI 1.10 1.10 (1.02 to 1.19) 1.02 0.54 to 1.07) 0.51 VI 1.10 (1.00 to 1.01) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 recipitation 1.22 (0.70 to 2.12) 1.22 0.70 to 2.12) 1.23 ation 1.22 (0.70 to 1.01) 1.00 1.00 1.00 carbohydrate 0.96 (0.70 to 1.33) 1.23 0.71 protein 1.37 0.69 to 2.71 0.71 0.71 protein 1.37 0.69 to 2.71 0.71 0.71 protein 1.37 0.69 to 2.71 1.20 1.21 protein 1.37 0.69 to 2.71 0.71 0.71 protein 1.37 0.69 to 2.71 1.22 1.21 proteintation 1.37 0.30 t	Rainfall	1.00	(0.99 to 1.01)	0.83	(0.83 to 0.84)	0.99	(0.98 to 1.00)	0.86	(0.85 to 0.87)
0.98 (0.94 to 1.02) 0.82 (0.79 to 0.86) 1.02 (0.98 to 1.07) 0.91 VI 1.10 1.10 (1.02 to 1.19) 2.54 2.54 victoriation 1.00 (1.00 to 1.01) 1.00 1.00 1.00 ation 1.22 (0.70 to 2.12) 1.23 1.23 1.23 ation 1.20 (1.00 to 1.03) 0.71 to 1.03 1.23 1.23 ation 0.96 (0.70 to 1.33) 0.71 0.71 1.23 protein 0.67 0.70 to 1.33 0.71 0.71 1.23 protein 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 0.71 0.71 0.71 protein 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 0.71 0.71 0.71 protein 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.71 0.71 0.71 protein 0.70 0.70 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 protein 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.71 0.71 <	Temperature	1.12	(1.08 to 1.16)	1.12	(1.08 to 1.16)	1.34	(1.30 to 1.38)	1.32	(1.29 to 1.36)
VI1.10(1.02 to 1.19)2.54recipitation1.00(1.00 to 1.01)1.00recipitation1.00(1.00 to 1.01)1.00ation1.22(0.70 to 2.12)1.23ation1.00(1.00 to 1.03)1.00carbohydrate0.96(0.70 to 1.33)0.71protein0.67(0.17 to 2.72)0.71EVI1.37(0.69 to 2.71)2.17protein1.16(1.02 to 1.30)0.51fict: carbohydrate0.78(0.30 to 2.00)0.79	Urbanisation	0.98	(0.94 to 1.02)	0.82	(0.79 to 0.86)	1.02	(0.98 to 1.07)	0.91	(0.89 to 0.93)
1.10 (1.02 to 1.19) 2.54 1.00 (1.00 to 1.01) 1.00 1.22 (0.70 to 2.12) 1.23 1.20 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.23 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.23 0.96 (0.70 to 1.33) 0.71 0.97 (0.70 to 1.33) 0.71 1.37 (0.69 to 2.72) 0.71 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 1.45 (1.02 to 1.30) 0.71 0.71 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 0.74 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 0.74 (1.02 to 1.30) 0.71	Interaction terms								
1.00 $(1.00 to 1.01)$ 1.00 1.22 $(0.70 to 2.12)$ 1.23 1.22 $(0.70 to 1.30)$ 1.00 1.00 $(1.00 to 1.00)$ 1.00 0.96 $(0.70 to 1.33)$ 0.71 0.67 $(0.17 to 2.72)$ 0.71 1.37 $(0.69 to 2.71)$ 2.17 1.15 $(1.02 to 1.30)$ 0.71 0.78 $(0.30 to 2.00)$ 0.79	Carbohydrate: EVI			1.10	(1.02 to 1.19)			2.54	(0.80 to 8.07)
1.22 (0.70 to 2.12) 1.23 1.00 (1.00 to 1.00) 1.00 1.00 (0.71 to 2.72) 0.71 0.67 (0.17 to 2.72) 0.71 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 1.45 (1.02 to 1.30) 2.17 1.50 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Carbohydrate: precipitation			1.00	(1.00 to 1.01)			1.00	(1.00 to 1.01)
1.00 $(1.00 to 1.00)$ 1.00 0.96 $(0.70 to 1.33)$ 0.71 0.67 $(0.17 to 2.72)$ 0.51 1.37 $(0.69 to 2.71)$ 2.17 1.15 $(1.02 to 1.30)$ 1.22 0.78 $(0.30 to 2.00)$ 0.79	Protein: EVI			1.22	(0.70 to 2.12)			1.23	(0.34 to 4.41)
0.96 (0.70 to 1.33) 0.71 0.67 (0.17 to 2.72) 0.51 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) 2.17 0.78 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Protein: precipitation			1.00	(1.00 to 1.00)			1.00	(1.00 to 1.01)
0.67 (0.17 to 2.72) 0.51 1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) 1.22 0.78 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Recent conflict: carbohydrate			0.96	(0.70 to 1.33)			0.71	(0.35 to 1.43)
1.37 (0.69 to 2.71) 2.17 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) 1.22 0.78 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Recent conflict: protein			0.67	(0.17 to 2.72)			0.51	(0.21 to 1.25)
1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) 1.22 0.78 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Recent conflict: EVI			1.37	(0.69 to 2.71)			2.17	(0.70 to 6.73)
0.78 (0.30 to 2.00) 0.79	Recent conflict: precipitation			1.15	(1.02 to 1.30)			1.22	(1.08 to 1.37)
	Longer term conflict: carbohydrate			0.78	(0.30 to 2.00)			0.79	(0.20 to 3.14)

BMJ Glob Health: first published as 10.1136/bmjgh-2016-000262 on 29 May 2017. Downloaded from http://gh.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

	Wasting				Stunting		
	Model A	Model B controlling forModel A not controlling forthe effect of recent andthe effect of conflictlonger term conflict	Model B of the effect longer ter	Model B controlling for the effect of recent and longer term conflict	Model A not controlling for the effect of conflict	Model the effo longer	Model B controlling for the effect of recent and longer term conflict
Correlates	OR	Crl	OR	Crl	OR Crl	OR	Crl
Longer term conflict: protein			0.92	(0.39 to 2.21)		0.80	(0.39 to 1.65)
Longer term conflict: EVI			1.91	(1.79 to 2.04)		1.98	(1.67 to 2.34)
Longer term conflict: precipitation			0.98	(0.95 to 1.01)		0.96	(0.93 to 0.99)
EVI: temperature			0.19	(0.06 to 0.55)		0.28	(0.09 to 0.90)

Model B: accounting for the effect of conflict

Figure 1 shows the distribution of conflict, wasting and stunting. Out of the 1395 total conflict events recorded from 2007 to 2010, 760 (54%) were battles, 109 (8%) were remote violence, 393 (28%) were violence against civilians and 133 (10%) were in the other types of conflict events. A high number of conflict events occurred in the South Central region. A similar distribution was observed for both wasting and stunting. The seasonal timing of conflict events is shown in figure 2. Monthly fluctuation of conflict events for the period of 2007–2010 is shown in Figure SI.1.

BMJ Global Health

The correlations between covariates were found to be lower than 0.6, and therefore all the predictor variables were used in the main spatial-temporal Bayesian logistic analysis (Figure SI. 2). The effects of the covariates used in the models are shown in table 2. In this model, the recent conflict was associated with wasting (OR=1.37, 95% CrI: 1.33, 1.42) and with stunting (OR=1.21, 95% CrI: 1.15, 1.28). Longer term conflict had larger ORs for wasting (OR=1.76, 95% CrI: 1.71, 1.81) and stunting (OR=1.88, 95% CrI: 1.83, 1.94). The protective associations between rainfall, urbanisation and undernutrition became statistically significant after controlling for conflict. In addition, estimates of the effect size for being IDP increased: OR=1.62, 95% CrI: 1.54, 1.70; OR=2.23, 95% CrI: 2.07, 2.40 for wasting and stunting, respectively.

The interaction terms between carbohydrate and EVI, recent conflict and precipitation, longer term conflict and EVI, and EVI and temperature were significantly associated with the risk of wasting, while recent conflict and precipitation, longer term conflict and EVI, longer term conflict and precipitation, and EVI and temperature are significantly associated with the risk of stunting.

The AFs for recent conflict were 7.6% and 6.9%, while the AFs of longer term conflict were 6.0% and 7.4% for wasting and stunting, respectively. The combined AF of recent and longer term conflicts were 13.1% and 14.4% for wasting and stunting, respectively. Recent conflict and EVI (indicating drought) accounted for 15.4% and 14.4%, while longer term conflict and EVI accounted for 8.6% and 14.5% of wasting and stunting, respectively (table 3). The protective effect of vegetation cover on stunting was enhanced to OR=0.40, 95% CrI: 0.39, 0.41 in model B.

The WAIC value of models improved with the inclusion of conflict: for wasting, from 282.74 to 228.21, and for stunting, from 380.49 to 321.15. The correlation plots between the observed and fitted prevalence of wasting and stunting from both models are shown in figure 3. Adjusted OR of models with any conflict and with recent and longer term conflict are found in Table SI. 3.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of recorded conflict events on undernutrition among Somali children under 5 years of age. Not surprisingly, conflict

2008

2009

2010

c

c

c




Figure 1 Smooth maps showing the distribution of reported conflict, the prevalence of wasting and the prevalence of stunting between 2007 and 2010 in Somalia. The country is divided into three main zones: South Central (A), North East (B) and North West (C). The country's two main rivers Juba and Shebelle are located in the South Central zone.

negatively impacted children's nutritional status. However, importantly, the effects of conflict were not fully captured by survey data on individual, household and environmental factors.⁶ The effects of recent and

0

longer term conflict, after adjustment for the individual, household and environmental determinants, were large, with a 76% and 88% greater odds of wasting and stunting and AFs of 13% and 14%, respectively. The




Figure 2 Number of conflict events for the period 2007–2010 grouped in four seasons in Somalia. 'Deyr'=October– December, 'Gu'=April–June, 'Hagaa'=July–September and 'Jillal'=December–March.

main effect of including conflict in the model improved the model fit for high prevalence clusters of both wasting and stunting.

Our findings are consistent with previous studies that suggest that conflict is associated with undernutrition, especially in countries where prolonged conflict overlaps with persistent drought and famine in sub-Saharan

Table 3Attributable fractilonger term conflict and enthe wasting and stunting arin Somalia	hanced vegetatio	on index (EVI) for
Predictors	Wasting (%)	Stunting (%)
Recent and longer term conflicts	13.12	14.43
Recent conflict and EVI	15.36	14.42
Longer term and EVI	8.60	14.47
Recent conflict	7.62	6.91
Longer term conflict	5.97	7.39
EVI	6.27	6.83

Africa.¹ In South Sudan, nutritional status among children in areas exposed to counterinsurgency warfare was highest among the internally displaced population.²⁸ The flight of approximately a million Rwandan refugees into Democratic Republic of Congo in 1994 overwhelmed the international community's capacity to respond leading to high rates of undernutrition, coupled with serials of epidemics in refugee camps.²⁹ The Ethiopian famine coupled with high level of conflict during the late 1990s resulted in migration, undernutrition and high mortality rates, particularly for the marginalised pastoralist communities.³⁰

In 2009, approximately 3.25 million people in Somalia were in need of humanitarian assistance of which 1.8 million were children, a 77% increase from early 2008 and around 1 million children were acutely malnourished.³¹ Due to the high level of conflict in the South, which has made access challenging, people continue to migrate both internally and to neighbouring countries.³² Over a million people have been internally displaced since fighting resumed in 2006.³¹ Continued crowding, lack of human waste disposal systems and treated public




Figure 3 The observed versus fitted prevalence of wasting and stunting from model (A) that did not account for the effects of conflict and model (B) that accounted for the effects of recent and longer term conflict.

water supplies in displaced person centres and feeding stations have degenerated the living conditions of the Somalis ,hence contributing to high levels of undernutrition and diseases.³²

Poor rainfall and vegetation cover, continued conflict leading to restricted access to humanitarian assistance and internally displacement have been highlighted as the main underlying factors contributing to high rates of undernutrition in Somalia.³² A comprehensive analvsis of the determinants of undernutrition combined with the effects of conflict for a given population group provide a better basis for action.² Our results suggest that vegetation cover, hence the potential for arable production, becomes more important in the context of conflict. Support for agriculture may, therefore, be beneficial. This study has shown that wasting is sensitive to seasonal variation, with an increase in risk during the dry seasons and a decrease in wet seasons. In addition, female children had a lower risk of both wasting and stunting. These findings are consistent with findings from demographic and health surveys (DHS) in Ethiopia in 2016 and in Kenya in 2014 that showed similar results: girls were at a lower risk compared with boys. This information can be used during emergency humanitarian interventions to target vulnerable children in the dry seasons.^{33 34} In Niger, distribution of ready-to-use-therapeutic foods to non-malnourished children aged 6-59 months was effective in limiting reductions in weightfor-height and reducing the incidence of wasting in the short-term period during the dry seasons.³⁵ We found the risk of wasting was highest among children of less than 12 months of age. This again concurs with DHS findings in the region that demonstrate highest prevalence of wasting among infants. Programmes should therefore strengthen capacity to target interventions towards infants, seasonally, and considering recent conflict or a history of conflict locally.³⁶

Our study has some limitations. The data were secondary, obtained from several cross sectional surveys conducted by FSNAU and hence children in IDP camps could not

be linked to their location of origin where the conflict occurred but were linked to the conflict data in their locations at the time of the surveys. In addition, wasting may vary within a short period, and this variability might not be fully captured from the cross-sectional studies that were intermittent and could not be conducted during periods of active local conflict. Importantly, the surveys, like many others, omitted infants under 6 months old, which have recently been highlighted as having a significant burden of severe acute undernutrition and more likely to have poor outcomes than older children.³⁶ The ACLED database may not have captured all conflict events, especially smaller armed violence events.

CONCLUSION

Our study shows that the effects of conflict on undernutrition were not fully captured by analysis of the individual, household and environmental variables that we assessed, even though these may be expected to be affected by conflict. These results highlight the necessity to consider conflict in addition to other factors to estimate risk. Mitigating the effects of conflict on undernutrition will require improved analytical and operational framework in order to protect livelihoods and safeguard developmental gains.

Author affiliations

¹INFORM Project, Spatial Health Metrics Group, Kenya Medical Research Institute/ Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Nairobi, Kenya

²Nutrition Section, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Kenya Country Office, UN Complex Gigiri, Nairobi, Kenya

³Warwick Medical School, Health Sciences Research Institute, University of Warwick, Warwick Evidence, Gibbet Hill, Coventry, UK

⁴Department of Mathematics and Information sciences, Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

⁵Faculty of Health and Sport Sciences, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway
⁶Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁷Food Security and Nutrition Analysis Unit (FSNAU) - Somalia, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Ngecha Road Campus, Nairobi, Kenya ⁸Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, CCVTM, Oxford, UK ⁹Kenya Medical Research Institute/Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Centre for Geographic Medicine Research (Coast), Kilifi, Kenya

Acknowledgements AMN is supported by a Wellcome Trust grant (#095127) that also supports DKK. JAB is supported by a Wellcome Trust grant (#083579). Both JAB and AMN are also supported by the Wellcome Trust through a Sustaining Health award (#103926). We are grateful for the support provided by the Wellcome Trust Major Overseas Programme grant to the KEMRI/Wellcome Trust Research Programme. We acknowledge FSNAU for providing data for this study. We are grateful to Elias Krainski, Geir-Arne Fuglstad and Havard Rue of the Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway for helping in model development.

Contributors DKK, JAB, AMN, GMM, OAU and N-BK were responsible for the concept and design of the study. DKK led the development of the model, data assembly process, data analysis and interpretation of results. GMM and EOO were responsible for conducting the surveys, cleaning and archiving the data. JAB, AMN, OAU and N-BK were responsible for overall scientific oversight. All authors reviewed the manuscript and contributed to the final submission.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent The study does not involve patients and therefore there is no personal medical information reported in the article.

Ethics approval Ministry of Health Somalia, Transitional Federal Government of Somalia Republic, Ref: MOH/WC/XA/146/07, dated 02/02/2007.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1. Salama P, Spiegel P, Talley L, *et al.* Lessons learned from complex emergencies over past decade. *Lancet* 2004;364:1801–13.
- Egal F. Nutrition in conflict situations. *Br J Nutr* 2006;96 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S17–S19.
- UNU. Conflict as a cause of hunger. http://archiveunuedu/unupress/ unupbooks/uu22we/uu22we0jhtm (accessed 17 May 2016).
- UNFAO. Impact of Armed Conflict on the Nutritional situation of Children. http://wwwfaoorg/docrep/005/w2357e/W2357E02htm (accessed on 18 May 2016).
- WHO. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1995;854:1–452.
- O'Hare BA, Southall DP. First do no harm: the impact of recent armed conflict on maternal and child health in Sub-Saharan Africa. J R Soc Med 2007;100:564–70.
- Guha-Sapir D, Panhuis WG. Conflict-related mortality: an analysis of 37 datasets. *Disasters* 2004;28:418–28.
- Paul A, Doocy S, Tappis H, *et al.* Preventing malnutrition in postconflict, food insecure settings: a case study from South Sudan. *PLoS Curr* 2014;6.
- 9. UN-FAO/FSNAU-Somalia. Guidelines for emergency Nutrition & Mortality surveys in Somalia, 2011.
- Kinyoki DK, Kandala NB, Manda SO, et al. Assessing comorbidity and correlates of wasting and stunting among children in Somalia using cross-sectional household surveys: 2007 to 2010. BMJ Open 2016;6:e009854.
- UNFAO-FSNAU. National Micronutrient Malnutrition Study Somalia 2009. 2009 https://wwwhumanitarianresponseinfo/ system/files/0902_Somalia_National_ MicronutrientDeficiencyDisorderStudyProtocolpdf.
- Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE, et al. What works? interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. *The Lancet* 2008;371:417–40.

- Shukla A. Drought+ politics= famine in Somalia. International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies 2014;6:1104–10.
- Kinyoki DK, Berkley JA, Moloney GM, et al. Predictors of the risk of malnutrition among children under the age of 5 years in Somalia. Public Health Nutr 2015;18:3125–33.
- de Onis M, Blössner M. The World Health Organization Global Database on Child Growth and Malnutrition: methodology and applications. *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;32:518–26.
- ACLED. Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) Codebook. 2016 http://wwwacleddatacom/wp-content/ uploads/2016/01/ACLED_Codebook_2016pdf (Accessed 18 May 2016).
- ACLED. Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED) User Guide. 2016 http://www.acleddatacom/wp-content/ uploads/2016/01/ACLED_User-Guide_2016pdf.
- Grace K, Davenport F, Funk C, et al. Child malnutrition and climate in Sub-Saharan Africa: an analysis of recent trends in Kenya. *Appl Geogr* 2012;35(1-2):405–13.
- 19. Noor AM, Kinyoki DK, Mundia CW, *et al.* The changing risk of plasmodium falciparum malaria infection in Africa: 2000-10: a spatial and temporal analysis of transmission intensity. *Lancet* 2014;383:1739–47.
- WorldClim. http://www.worldclim.org/download.htm (accessed 17 May 2016).
- Scharlemann JP, Benz D, Hay SI, et al. Global data for ecology and epidemiology: a novel algorithm for temporal fourier processing MODIS data. PLoS One 2008;3:e1408.
- 22. Balk DPF, Yetman G, Deichmann U, *et al.* The distribution of people and the dimension of place: methodologies to improve the global estimation of urban extents. *In International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Proceedings of the Urban Remote Sensing Conference* 2005:14–16.
- Rue H, Martino S, Chopin N. Approximate bayesian inference for latent gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* 2009;71:319–92.
- Rückinger S, von Kries R, Toschke AM. An illustration of and programs estimating attributable fractions in large scale surveys considering multiple risk factors. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009;9:7.
- Eide GE, Gefeller O. Sequential and average attributable fractions as aids in the selection of preventive strategies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995;48:645–55.
- Greenland S, Drescher K. Maximum likelihood estimation of the attributable fraction from logistic models. *Biometrics* 1993;49:865–72.
- 27. Gelman A, Hwang J, Vehtari A. Understanding predictive information criteria for bayesian models. *Stat Comput* 2014;24:997–1016.
- 28. Deng LB. The Sudan famine of 1998. *IDS Bull* 2002;33:28–38.
- 29. Group GE. Public health impact of Rwandan refugee crisis: what happened in Goma, Zaire, in July, 1994? Goma Epidemiology Group. *Lancet* 1995;345:339–44.
- Hammond L, Maxwell D. The Ethiopian crisis of 1999-2000: lessons learned, questions unanswered. *Disasters* 2002;26:262–79.
- Guha-Sapir D, Ratnayake R. Consequences of ongoing civil conflict in Somalia: evidence for public health responses. *PLoS Med* 2009;6:e1000108.
- Ferris E, Petz D. The year that shock the rich: a review of natural disaster in 2011 - Chapter 3 - Somalia: drought + conflict = famine? 2012 http://wwwbrookingsedu/~/media/research/files/ reports/2012/3/natural-disaster-review-ferris/03_natural_disaster_ review_ferrispdf.
- ICF. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016: key Indicators Report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA. CSA and ICF 2016 https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR81/PR81.pdf.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Health/Kenya, National AIDS Control Council/Kenya, et al. *Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. Rockville, MD, USA, 2015.
- Isanaka S, Nombela N, Djibo A, *et al.* Effect of preventive supplementation with ready-to-use therapeutic food on the nutritional status, mortality, and morbidity of children aged 6 to 60 months in Niger: a cluster randomized trial. *JAMA* 2009;301:277–85.
- Kerac M, Blencowe H, Grijalva-Eternod C, *et al.* Prevalence of wasting among under 6-month-old infants in developing countries and implications of new case definitions using WHO growth standards: a secondary data analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 2011;96:1008–13.